1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
7 8	JESSE B. HOLMES, Case No. 2:10-cv-01774-PMP-PAL Plaintiff, REPORT OF FINDINGS AND
9	vs. Provided the second of t
10	LARRY A. ATKINSON,
11	Defendants.)
12	
13	Plaintiff Jesse B. Holmes is proceeding in this action <i>pro se</i> . He filed an Application to Proceed
14	In Forma Pauperis (Dkt. #1) and submitted a Complaint on October 13, 2010. Because the court
15	granted Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis, the court screened the pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
16	§ 1915(e). The undersigned found that Plaintiff's Complaint failed to state a claim and allowed
17	Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint. Plaintiff has neither filed an amended complaint nor
18	requested an extension of time nor taken any other action to prosecute this case. Plaintiff was warned
19	that failure to comply with the screening order would result in a recommendation to the District Judge
20	that this case be dismissed.
21	Accordingly,
22	IT IS RECOMMENDED that the Complaint be DISMISSED.
23	Dated this 8th day of March, 2011.
24	
25	PEGGY AGEEN
26	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27	///
28	///

NOTICE

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within ten days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve *specific written objections* together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within fourteen days of the date of service of the findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's Order. *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.